UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

RACHEL MILLER; TEXAS DEMOCRATIC PARTY; DNC SERVICES CORP., d/b/a DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE; DSCC; and DCCC,

Plaintiffs.

v.

RUTH HUGHS, in her official capacity as the Texas Secretary of State,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-01071-LY

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO SECRETARY'S MOTION TO DISMISS

In support of their response in opposition to the Secretary's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 33) ("Mot. to Dismiss"), Plaintiffs respectfully submit the attached Memorandum Opinion issued on January 27, 2020 in *Democratic National Committee v. Hobbs*, No. 18-15845 (9th Cir. Jan. 27, 2020) (en banc). *See* Exhibit A. In *Hobbs*, the Democratic National Committee ("DNC"), DSCC, and Arizona Democratic Party were among several plaintiffs that brought suit challenging two state election laws based on injuries suffered by the Democratic Party and its voters as a result of the implementation of those laws. The Ninth Circuit found, en banc, that both challenged laws violated the Voting Rights Act ("VRA").

Although the case presently before this Court does not involve claims brought under the VRA, the decision in *Hobbs* is of relevance to the Secretary's argument that *Common Cause v. Rucho*, 139 S. Ct. 2484 (2019), renders non-justiciable cases that challenge election laws that "reflect[] partisan interests." Mot. to Dismiss at 15-16; *see also* Resp. In Opp. to Mot. to Dismiss

at 18-20 (ECF No. 36). One of the laws at issue in *Hobbs* was an Arizona law that prohibited

collection and delivery of voted absentee ballots. The Ninth Circuit's conclusion that the ballot

collection law was unlawful repeatedly recognized that it was enacted in large part to further

partisan interests. See, e.g., slip op. at 23 (noting district court found Republican Party, unlike

Democratic Party, had not significantly engaged in ballot collection as a get out the vote ("GOTV")

strategy, and that ballot collection had traditionally been predominately used to enable voters who

were not part of Republican base to vote by absentee ballot); id. at 83 (same); see also id. at 25

(finding "Democrats and Hispanic leaders have seen reason to favor [ballot collection],

Republicans have not"); id. at 27 (finding Republican sponsor of similar legislation was motivated

at least in part "by a desire to eliminate what had become an effective Democratic GOTV

strategy").

The opinion was issued by a divided Ninth Circuit en banc court seven months to the day

after the Supreme Court issued its decision in Rucho, however, neither the majority nor the dissents

evidenced any concern that the challenge to the law was nonjusticiable because it "reflect[ed]" (or

in that case, was demonstrably meant to further) "partisan interests." Mot. to Dismiss at 16. The

partisan motivation for and ramifications of the law were simply another feature of the law, that in

no way hindered the court's ability to evaluate and decide the partisan plaintiffs' claims on the

merits.

Dated: January 29, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Skyler M. Howton

Skyler M. Howton PERKINS COIE LLP

500 North Akard St., Suite 3300

Dallas, TX 75201-3347

2

Telephone: (214) 965-7700 Facsimile: (214) 965-7799 showton@perkinscoie.com

Marc E. Elias*
Elisabeth C. Frost*
John M. Geise*
PERKINS COIE LLP
700 Thirteenth St., N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-3960
Telephone: (202) 654-6200
Facsimile: (202) 654-9959
melias@perkinscoie.com
efrost@perkinscoie.com
jgeise@perkinscoie.com

Abha Khanna*
PERKINS COIE LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Telephone: (206) 359-8000
Facsimile: (206) 359-9000
akhanna@perkinscoie.com

Gillian Kuhlmann*
PERKINS COIE LLP
1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721
Telephone: (310) 788-3245
Facsimile: (310) 843-1244
gkuhlmann@perkinscoie.com

Counsel for the Plaintiffs *Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Chad W. Dunn, TX# 24036507 Brazil & Dunn, LLP 4407 Bee Caves Road, Suite 111 Austin, Texas 78746 Telephone: (512) 717-9822 Facsimile: (512) 515-9355 chad@brazilanddunn.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Texas Democratic Party

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on January 29, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Skyler M. Howton